OCEANA COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY (LEP) PLAN

3501 West Polk Road
P.O. Box 112
Hart, MI 49420
Phone: 231-873-4226
Fax: 231-873-7123
Website: http://www.oceanacrc.org/
Board of Road Commissioners Meeting
Board Room
October 23, 2013

The Regular Meeting of the Oceana County Board of Road Commissioners was called to order by Chairman Eilers in the Board Room at 10:00 AM on Wednesday, October 23, 2013.

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by all.

Members present: Eilers, Myers, Forbes, Wheeler, and Carr. Absent: None.
Staff present: Griffin.
Visitors: Dale Stevenson, Weare Township Supervisor; Gale Eitniear, Newfield Township Supervisor; John Herremans, Leavitt Township Supervisor; Denny Powers, Oceana County Commissioner; Ray Scheulin, Golden Township resident; and Mark Ferris, Lake Tahoe resident.
TOTAL: 6 visitors.

CITIZEN’S PARTICIPATION

Ray Scheulin, Golden Township resident, presented a copy of photos he has taken of 56th Avenue, from Hazel Road to Scout Road, showing all the garbage and tires that are being dumped there. He has been picking up this area for several years now and asked if the Road Commission would dispose of the tires. He was informed that we pay to have our tires removed. Various Townships had cleanup days in the past where tires were accepted and disposed of, until it became too costly.

Mark Ferris, Lake Tahoe resident, spoke again about developing technology to heat the roads in Lake Tahoe. He also commented on recycling tires into sandals for shipment to other countries.

John Herremans, Leavitt Township Supervisor, asked if the Walkerville Garage would be opened up this year. He was informed that the garage will be set up for Winter operations, and if the need arises both district men will report for work there. The garage is used now for changing blades and minor equipment repairs.

Motion by Forbes and supported by Wheeler to approve the following Agenda items for discussion.

1. Hart Township – 88th Avenue (between Fillmore Road and Baseline Road);
2. Change December meeting date;
3. Title VI – Approve Amendment to Plan and Approve LEP Addition to Plan.

Roll call vote: Forbes – yes; Wheeler – yes; Carr – yes; Myers – yes; Eilers – yes.
Motion carried.

Motion by Myers and supported by Forbes to approve the Minutes of the October 9, 2013 Regular Board Meeting as presented.

Roll call vote: Myers – yes; Forbes – yes; Carr - yes; Wheeler – yes; Eilers – yes.
Motion carried.

The 2013 Revenues & Expenditures Report and Cash Flow Statement were given to the Commissioners to review.
Motion by Forbes and supported by Myers to approve the following Vouchers as presented.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voucher No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>71791</td>
<td>(Accounts Payable)</td>
<td>$230,208.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71792</td>
<td>(Payroll)</td>
<td>$47,069.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$277,277.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Roll call vote: Forbes – yes; Myers – yes; Wheeler – yes; Carr – yes; Eilers – yes.
Motion carried.

The Board members were given a copy of a Permit issued to Hart Township for pulverizing the existing asphalt and gravel base, grading, and compacting 88th Avenue, between Baseline Road and Fillmore Road. The estimate for this work was $7,400.00 and the Road Commission will share in the cost.

RESOLUTION NO. 1 – CHANGE BOARD MEETING DATE

Motion by Myers and supported by Forbes to change the date of the Regular Board Meeting scheduled for December 25, 2013 to December 31, 2013 at 10:00 AM.

Roll call vote: Myers – yes; Forbes – yes; Wheeler – yes; Carr – yes; Eilers – yes.
Motion carried.

RESOLUTION NO. 2 – APPROVE TITLE VI PLAN UPDATES

Motion by Myers and supported by Wheeler to have Chairman Eilers and Clerk-Manager Griffin sign the updated Title VI Non-Discrimination Plan.

Roll call vote: Myers – yes; Wheeler – yes; Carr – yes; Forbes – yes; Eilers – yes.
Motion carried.

RESOLUTION NO. 3 – APPROVE LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY PLAN (LEP)

Motion by Forbes and supported by Myers to approve the Limited English Proficiency Plan (LEP) to be in compliance with Executive Order 13166 signed by President Clinton on August 11, 2000. This Order is to ensure accessibility to programs and services to otherwise eligible persons who are not proficient in the English language.

WHEREAS, in accordance with Title VI non-discrimination laws in regard to providing appropriate access to services and activities provided by Federal agencies and recipients of Federal assistance, the Limited English Proficiency Plan was drafted to define how the Oceana County Road Commission will accommodate persons with Limited English Proficiency; and

WHEREAS, individuals who do not speak English well and who have a limited ability to read, write, speak, or understand English are entitled to language assistance under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 with respect to a particular type of service, benefit, or encounter; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED: That the Board of Oceana County Road Commission approves and adopts the Limited English Proficiency Plan for the Oceana County Road Commission.

Motion carried.
STAFF REPORTS

Lisa Dutcher, Project Coordinator, provided a report to the Board that detailed all of the stream crossings that were completed this year, including the 2014 committed and projected replacements. Lisa is attending the “Water, Woods, and Wetlands” Regional Forum in Muskegon. She and Rick Westerhof, US Fish & Wildlife, are giving a presentation on our bridge repair and culvert replacement projects.

The Board was informed that a request went out to all Townships for their plans for 2014 road work so that work can begin on next year’s proposed budget. The Clerk-Manager was presented the list of projects that Newfield Township has planned for next year prior to today’s meeting.

Our forces have nearly completed the culvert replacement work and the Township “match” projects are wrapping up. The district men will be working in their respective areas to prepare for Winter snowplowing.

Commissioner Carr is still working on having UPM provide a pug mill at both the Hart and M-20 locations so we can make coldpatch on site next year.

Commissioner Myers commended our employees for the excellent job they have done on the culvert replacement projects. This type of work was new to our crew members when first called to assist in assembling timber bridges in 2011. We have several men that have become very proficient in the activities required of timber bridge building and placement, as well as installing guardrail and mulch blanket.

Phone calls continue to come in from the motoring public thanking the Road Commission for the county wide pavement marking that was done this Fall.

Chairman Eilers asked if there was any further business to come before the Board. There being none, the Meeting was adjourned at 10:45 AM.

Respectfully submitted,

Sandra K. Griffin
Clerk-Manager

Russell Eilers
Chairman

November 13, 2013
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Introduction

On August 11, 2000, President William J. Clinton signed an executive order, Executive Order 13166: Improving Access to Service for Persons with Limited English Proficiency, to clarify Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. It had as its purpose, to ensure accessibility to programs and services to otherwise eligible persons who are not proficient in the English language.

This executive order stated that individuals who do not speak English well and who have a limited ability to read, write and speak, or understand English are entitled to language assistance under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 with respect to a particular type of service, benefit, or encounterii. These individuals are referred to as being limited in their ability to speak, read, write, or understand English, hence the designation, “LEP,” or Limited English Proficient. The Executive Order states that:

“Each federal agency shall prepare a plan to improve access to its federally conducted programs and activities by eligible LEP persons. Each plan shall be consistent with the standards set forth in the LEP Guidance, and shall include the steps the agency will take to ensure that eligible LEP persons can meaningfully access the agency’s programs and activities.”

Not only do all federal agencies have to develop LEP plans as a condition of receiving federal financial assistance, recipients have to comply with Title VI and LEP guidelines of the federal agency from which funds are provided as well.

Federal financial assistance includes grants, training, use of equipment, donations of surplus property, and other assistance. Recipients of federal funds range from state and local agencies, to nonprofits and organizations. Title VI covers a recipient’s entire program or activity. This means all parts of a recipient’s operations are covered, even if only one part of a recipient’s organization receives the federal assistance. Simply put, any organization that receives federal financial assistance is required to follow this Executive Order.

The Oceana County Road Commission receives funds from the US Department of Transportation via the Federal Highway Administration.

The US Department of Transportation published Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients’ responsibilities to Limited English Proficient Person in the December 14th, 2005 Federal Register.iii

The Guidance implies that the OCRC is an organization that must follow this guidance:

This guidance applies to all DOT funding recipients, which include state departments of transportation, state motor vehicle administrations, airport operators, metropolitan planning organizations, and regional, state, and local transit operators, among many others. Coverage extends to a recipient’s entire program or activity, i.e., to all parts of a recipient’s operations. This is true even if only one part of the recipient receives the Federal assistance. For example, if
DOT provides assistance to a state department of transportation to rehabilitate a particular highway on the National Highway System, all of the operations of the entire state department of transportation—not just the particular highway program or project—are covered by the DOT guidance.

**Elements of an Effective LEP Policy**

The US Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division has developed a set of elements that may be helpful in designing and LEP policy or plan. These elements include:

1. Identifying LEP persons who need language assistance
2. Identifying ways in which language assistance will be provided
3. Training Staff
4. Providing notice to LEP persons
5. The recommended method of evaluating accessibility to available transportation services is the Four-Factor Analysis identified by the USDOT.

These recommended plan elements have been incorporated into this plan.

**Methodology for Assessing Needs and Reasonable Steps for an Effective LEP Policy**

The DOT guidance outlines four factors recipients should apply to the various kinds of contacts they have with the public to assess language needs and decide what reasonable steps they should take to ensure meaningful access for LEP persons:

1. The number or proportion of LEP persons eligible to be served or likely to be encountered by a program, activity, or service of the recipient or grantee.
2. The frequency with which LEP individuals come in contact with the program.
3. The nature and importance of the program, activity, or service provided by the recipient to the LEP Community.
4. The resources available to the OCRC and overall cost.

The greater the number or proportion of eligible LEP persons, the greater the frequency with which they have contact with a program, activity, or service and the greater the importance of that program, activity, or service, the more likely enhanced language services will be needed. The intent of DOT’s guidance is to suggest a balance that ensures meaningful access by LEP persons to critical services while not imposing undue burdens on small organizations and local governments.

Smaller recipients with more limited budgets are typically not expected to provide the same level of language service as larger recipients with larger budgets.

The DOT guidance is modeled after the Department of Justice’s guidance and requires recipients and sub recipients to take steps to ensure meaningful access their programs and activities to LEP persons. More information for recipients and sub recipients can be found at [http://www.lep.gov](http://www.lep.gov).
The Four-Factor Analysis

This plan uses the recommended four-factor analysis of an individualized assessment considering the four factors outlined above. Each of the following factors is examined to determine the level and extent of language assistance measures required to sufficiently ensure meaningful access to the OCRC services and activities that may affect their quality of life. Recommendations are then based on the results of the analysis.

Factor 1: The Proportion, Numbers and Distribution of LEP Persons

The Census Bureau has a range of four classifications of how well people speak English. The classifications are ‘very well’, ‘well’, ‘not well’, and ‘not at all.’ For our planning purposes, we are considering people that speak English ‘less than very well’ as Limited English Proficient persons.

As seen in Table #1, the Census 2011 Data for the OCRC shows that 2,679 (10.7%) of individuals in the county speak a language other than English; of those individuals, 1,341 (5.4%) have identified themselves as speaking English less than ‘very well’. Of those individuals, there are 1,194 (4.8%) people in the Spanish language group, 71 (0.3%) individuals in the ‘Other’ languages group, 62 (0.2%) residents in the ‘Other Indo-European’ languages group, and 14 (0.1%) people in the Asian and Pacific Islander languages group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME</th>
<th># of Individuals</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population 5 years and over</td>
<td>25,017</td>
<td>25.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English only</td>
<td>22,338</td>
<td>89.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language other than English</td>
<td>2,679</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speak English less than &quot;very well&quot;</td>
<td>1,341</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>2,298</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speak English less than &quot;very well&quot;</td>
<td>1,194</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Indo-European languages</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speak English less than &quot;very well&quot;</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian and Pacific Islander languages</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speak English less than &quot;very well&quot;</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other languages</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speak English less than &quot;very well&quot;</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Factor 2: Frequency of Contact with LEP Individuals

The OCRC has conducted an informal survey of our employees with regard to whether they have had encounters with LEP individuals in the performance of their job functions and found that they have not had any encounters with LEP individuals. We have offices accessible to the public
and therefore accessible to LEP individuals and we have staff that work in the field that could encounter LEP individuals. Additionally, regular Board meetings are held the second and fourth Wednesdays of each month, which would potentially bring LEP individuals to these meetings. Given the number of LEP individuals, as displayed in Table #1 (above), the probability of our employees to encounter and LEP individual is moderate.

**Factor 3: The Nature and Importance of the Program, Activity, or Service to LEP**

The Road Commission’s main function is to maintain the road network throughout Ontonagon County. This network is incredibly sound and new roadways will not be added, thus requiring acquisition of land is not an issue. Our services are straight forward and garnered by the weather and wear and tear on the roads by motorists and out of the way of face to face contact. Occasionally, the road surface of a roadway is changed, trees are cut or ditches are dug to improve the conditions for the motoring public and public hearings are held.

It is believed that denial or delay of access to services or information provided by the OCRC could have serious implications on a LEP individual, especially services such as health, emergency transportation, water, sewer, fire protection, policy protection and other emergency services. Therefore the denial of services to an LEP individual could have a significant detrimental effect. Although the LEP population in the county is small, we will ensure accessibility to all of our programs, services, and activities.

**Factor 4: The Resources Available to the Oceana County Road Commission and Overall Cost**

US Department of Transportation Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients’ Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons published in the Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239) states:

"A recipient’s level of resources and the costs imposed may have an impact on the nature of the steps it should take in providing meaningful access for LEP persons. Smaller recipients with more limited budgets are not expected to provide the same level of language services as larger recipients with larger budgets. In addition, “reasonable steps” may cease to be reasonable where the costs imposed substantially exceed the benefits. Recipients should carefully explore the most cost-effective means of delivering competent and accurate language services before limiting services due to resource concerns."

Based on this guidance, we have reviewed our resources and deemed that given the number of LEP individuals in our county, upon request we will translate our vital documents into the language requested to ensure accessibility.

Although there will not be a fixed amount allocated from our yearly budget for the translation of documents, the cost associated with the necessary translation of document in order to comply with LEP requirements will be allocated on an as-needed basis.
Safe Harbor Stipulation

Federal law provides a “Safe Harbor” situation so that recipients can ensure with greater certainty that they comply with their obligation to provide written translations in languages other than English. A “Safe Harbor” means that if a recipient provides written translation in certain circumstances, such action will be considered strong evidence of compliance with the recipient’s written-translation obligations under Title VI.

The failure to provide written translations under the circumstances does not mean there is noncompliance, but rather provides a guide for recipients that would like greater certainty of compliance than can be provided by a fact-intensive, four factor analysis. For example, even if a Safe Harbor is not used, if written translation of a certain document(s) would be so burdensome as to defeat the legitimate objectives of its program, it is not necessary. Other ways of providing meaningful access, such as effective oral interpretation of certain vital documents, might be acceptable under such circumstances.

Strong evidence of compliance with the recipient’s written translation obligations under “Safe Harbor” includes providing written translations of vital documents for each eligible LEP language group that constitutes 5% or 1,000, whichever is less, of the population of persons eligible to be served or likely to be affected or encountered. Translation of other documents, if needed, can be provided orally.

This “Safe Harbor” provision applies to the translation of written documents only. It does not affect the requirement to provide meaningful access to LEP individuals through competent oral interpreters where oral language services are needed and are reasonable.

Given that the number of LEP individuals in the county is above the Safe Harbor threshold, written translations of vital documents will be made upon request, or oral interpretation can be provided. The OCRC will translate all vital documents, which may include but not be limited to: the complaint form, complaint procedures, and all public meeting notices.

Providing Notice to LEP Persons

USDOT LEP guidance says:
Once an agency has decided, based on the four factors, that it will provide language service, it is important that the recipient notify LEP persons of services available free of charge. Recipients should provide this notice in languages LEP persons would understand.

The guidance provides several examples of notification including:

1. Signage in languages an LEP individual would understand when free language assistance is available with advance notice.
2. Stating in outreach documents that free language services are available from the agency.
3. Working with community-based organizations and other stakeholders to inform LEP individuals of the recipient’s services, including the availability of language assistance services.
Statements in languages that an LEP individual would understand will be placed in public information and public notices informing LEP individuals that persons requiring language assistance and/or special accommodations will be provided the requested service free of charge, with reasonable advance notice to the OCRC.

**Options and Proposed Actions**

**Options:**
Federal fund recipients have two (2) main ways to provide language services: oral interpretation either in person or via telephone interpretation service and written translation. The correct mix should be based on what is both necessary and reasonable in light of the four-factor analysis.iv

The OCRC is defining an interpreter as a person who translates spoken language orally, as opposed to a translator, who translates written language and person who transfers the meaning of written text from one language into another. The person who translates orally is not a translator, but an interpreter.v

Due to limited financial resources, it is necessary to limit language aid to the most basic and cost-effective services. However, when requested appropriate assistance will be provided.

**What the OCRC will do. What actions will the OCRC take?**

- With advance notice of seven calendar days, the OCRC will provide interpreter services at public meetings, including language translation and signage for the hearing impaired.
- The OCRC will utilize the *Translators Resource List* as provided by MDOT for translation services and verbal interpretation.
- Ensure placement of statements in notices and publications in languages other than English that interpreter services are available for public meetings.
- The Census Bureau “I-speak” Language Identification Card will be distributed to all employees that may potentially encounter LEP individuals.
- Once the LEP individual’s language has been identified, an agency from the *Translators Resource List* will be contacted to provide interpretation services.
- Publications of the OCRC’s complaint form will be made available online and upon request.
- In the event that an OCRC employee encounters a LEP individual, they will follow the procedure listed below:
OFFICE ENCOUNTER

1. Provide an I-speak language identification card to determine the language spoken of the LEP individual.

2. Once the foreign language is determined, provide information to Title VI coordinator who will contact an interpreter from MDOT’s Translators Resource List.

3. If the need is for a document to be translated, the Title VI coordinator will have the document translated and provided to the requestor as soon as possible.

ROAD ENCOUNTER

1. Road crew employee will immediately contact the Title VI coordinator for assistance, and provide an I-speak language identification card to the LEP individual to determine the language spoken of the individual.

2. Once the foreign language is determined, provide information to Title VI coordinator who will contact an interpreter from MDOT’s Translators Resource List to provide telephonic interpretation.

3. If the need is for a document to be translated, the Title VI coordinator will have the document translated and provided to the requestor as soon as possible.

IN WRITING

1. Once a letter has been received it will be immediately forwarded to the Title VI Coordinator.

2. The Title VI Coordinator will contact a translator from the MDOT’s Translators Resource List to determine the specifics of the letter request information.

3. The Title VI Coordinator will work with the selected agency to provide the requested service to the individual in a timely manner.

OVER THE PHONE

1. If someone calls into our office speaking another language every attempt will be made to keep that individual on the line until an interpreter can be conferenced into the line and if possible determine the language spoken of the caller.

2. Once the language spoken by the caller has been identified, we will proceed with providing the requested assistance to the LEP individual.
The Oceana County Road Commission’s Staff Training

The OCRC’s staff will be provided training or made aware of the requirements for providing meaningful access to services for LEP persons.

LEP Plan Access

A copy of the LEP plan document can be requested at OCRC’s main office during normal business hours and the OCRC will make the plan available on the website at http://www.oceanacr.org. Any person or agency may also request a copy by contacting:

Sandra Griffin, Clerk-Manager
Oceana County Road Commission
3501 W. Polk Road
Hart, Michigan 49420
Phone: 231-873-4226
Fax: 231-873-7123
Email: sgriffin@oceanacr.org

---

i The executive order verbatim can be found online at http://www.usdoj.gov/crt/cdoh/Pubs/eolep.htm.


iii The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp.

iv http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep/asp

v Department of Justice Final LEP Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol. 67-Number 117.